UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	Eastern	District of	Michigan
UI	NITED STATES OF AMERICA		
	V.	ORDER (OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Harris Barnet	Case Number:	
	Defendant		
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.			
Part I—Findings of Fact			
	e defendant is charged with an offense described in local offense that would have been a federal offense a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 315 an offense for which the maximum sentence is lift.	e if a circumstance giving rise 6(a)(4). e imprisonment or death.	to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is
Ц	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisor	nment of ten years or more is	prescribed in *
(3) A for for (4) Fire	period of not more than five years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	offenses. while the defendant was on releate the date of conviction description that no condition of	ease pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. release of the defendant from imprisonment recombination of conditions will reasonably assure the
Alternative Findings (A)			
☐ (1) Th	ere is probable cause to believe that the defendant h	-	
	for which a maximum term of imprisonment of te	n years or more is prescribed	in
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924©). e defendant has not rebutted the presumption establication appearance of the defendant as required and the sa		ition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
Alternative Findings (B)			
	ere is a serious risk that the defendant will not appe ere is a serious risk that the defendant will endange		or the community.
_			
_			
_			
_			
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention			
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by \Box clear and convincing evidence \Box a prepon-			
	he evidence that		
Defendant poses a risk of flight. He has proven his inability to complying with conditions of bond. Defendant was charged with almost identical			
criminal acts as the instant charges in the Western District of N.Y. He picked up this case while on bond to the Western District of N.Y. Defendant			
violated his NY bond when he failed to reside at the bond address, twice applied for passports and traveled outside the USA to the Middle East under other names; was charged with new federal allegations, left the Western District of NY to travel overseas twice on false passports, and falsely			
completed a US Declarations form on 7/30/02. In 12/03 defendant plead guilty to charges of insufficient funds in Wayne County plus received 2			
years probation in State Court. Defendant has an unbroken record of failing to comply with all conditions of supervision.			
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention			
to the exten reasonable of Government	endant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Go t practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sen apportunity for private consultation with defense co	eneral or his designated representences or being held in custo counsel. On order of a court of	that the for confinement in a corrections facility separate, dy pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a of the United States or on request of an attorney for the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
4/28/05 s/MONA K. MAJZOUB			
	Date		gnature of Judge
			IITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
Name and Title of Judge			

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 *et seq.*); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 *et seq.*); or ©) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).